

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,972	08/22/2003	Steve Roby	DKT03002US	1068
7.	590 09/22/2005		EXAM	INER
BorgWarner Inc.			COZART, JERMIE E	
Patent Administrator 3850 Hamlin Road			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Auburn Hills, MI 48326-2872			3726	
		DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/646,972	ROBY, STEVE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jermie Cozart	3726			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failur Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	ly 2005.	•			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowan	s application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 2-11 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice (3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In the specification at paragraph 0025], lines 11-18, a plurality of vanes (113) is described, however the remainder of the specification fails to describe whether or not the vanes are full vanes or partial vanes. Now claims 1 and 12-14 as presently disclose "a plurality of full vanes" which is not supported by the specification. In addition, Applicant's remarks filed 7/8/05, state that "Although the term "full" is not expressly recited in the specification, it is clear from a reading of the specification that there is never any suggestion for partial vanes.", which supports the fact there is no support in the specification for "full vanes". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gottemoller (5,011,371) in view of Galliger (6,019,927).

Gottemoller`371 discloses manufacturing vaned diffuser (28; col. 4, line 35) by casting a one piece disc shape portion (30; col. 4, line 36) defining one surface of the diffuser and a plurality of full diffuser vanes (31; col. 4, line 37) extending from the surface. The diffuser (28) has an axis (not labeled, figs. 2-3) which inherently allows separation of the disc shaped portion and integral full diffuser vanes (31) from a mold thereof by translation along the axis.

Gottemoller, however, does not disclose the casting step taking place in a plaster mold or the vaned diffuser consisting mainly of aluminum or an aluminum alloy.

Galliger discloses the concept of plaster molding (col. 1, lines 60-66) complex turbo-machinery parts in order to provide the part with precise dimensions and surfaces.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to cast the vaned diffuser of Gottemoller`371 in a plaster mold, in light of the teachings of Galliger, in order to form the vaned diffuser with precise dimensions and surfaces.

It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cast the vaned diffused from aluminum or an aluminum alloy thereof, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.*

Application/Control Number: 10/646,972 Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-11 are allowed.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding <u>claim 2</u>, the prior art does not teach or suggest providing a male metallic template comprising at least the upper surface of the diffuser and further comprising a central hub having an axis approximately perpendicular to the upper surface, casting a female plaster mold corresponding to the male metallic template by contacting the template with a plaster slurry within a suitable frame and permitting the slurry to harden, and filling the female plaster mold with a molten non-ferrous metal or alloy having a melting point of less than about 700 °C, in combination with the other claimed limitations.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 12-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited on the attached PTO-892 are cited to show that the references listed in the specification have been considered by the Examiner.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/646,972 Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jermie Cozart whose telephone number is 571-272-4528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30 am 6:00 pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 19, 2005

David P. Bryant Primary Examiner